

# **Appendix D.1      Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes Checklist**

## **Environmental Review Report**

York Energy Centre Upgrades Project

**Capital Power Corporation**

SLR Project No.: 241.030524.00026

July 2024

## Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes A Checklist for the Non-Specialist

The **purpose of the checklist** is to determine:

- if a property(ies) or project area:
  - is a recognized heritage property
  - may be of cultural heritage value
- it includes all areas that may be impacted by project activities, including – but not limited to:
  - the main project area
  - temporary storage
  - staging and working areas
  - temporary roads and detours

**Processes covered** under this checklist, such as:

- *Planning Act*
- *Environmental Assessment Act*
- *Aggregates Resources Act*
- *Ontario Heritage Act* – Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties

### Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)

If you are not sure how to answer one or more of the questions on the checklist, you may want to hire a qualified person(s) (see page 5 for definitions) to undertake a cultural heritage evaluation report (CHER).

The CHER will help you:

- identify, evaluate and protect cultural heritage resources on your property or project area
- reduce potential delays and risks to a project

### Other checklists

Please use a separate checklist for your project, if:

- you are seeking a Renewable Energy Approval under Ontario Regulation 359/09 – [separate checklist](#)
- your Parent Class EA document has an approved screening criteria (as referenced in Question 1)

Please refer to the Instructions pages for more detailed information and when completing this form.

Project or Property Name

York Energy Centre Upgrades Project

Project or Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)

18781 and 18765 Dufferin Street, Township of King, Regional Municipality of York, Ontario

Proponent Name

SLR Consulting (Canada) Limited

Proponent Contact Information

Jennifer Whittard; 226-706-8080; jwhittard@slrconsulting.com

## Screening Questions

	Yes	No
1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If Yes, please follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.

If No, continue to Question 2.

## Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value

	Yes	No
2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If Yes, do **not** complete the rest of the checklist.

The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will:

- summarize the previous evaluation and
- add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken

The summary and appropriate documentation may be:

- submitted as part of a report requirement
- maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority

If No, continue to Question 3.

	Yes	No
3. Is the property (or project area):		
a. identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. a National Historic Site (or part of)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. designated under the <i>Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act</i> ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. designated under the <i>Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act</i> ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If Yes to any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:

- a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated

If a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:

- a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts

If No, continue to Question 4.

## Part B: Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value

	Yes	No
4. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
a. is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Part C: Other Considerations

	Yes	No
5. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area):		
a. is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. has a special association with a community, person or historical event?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**If Yes** to one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the property or within the project area.

You need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:

- a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)

If the property is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:

- a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts

**If No** to all of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the property.

The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will:

- summarize the conclusion
- add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file

The summary and appropriate documentation may be:

- submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the *Environmental Assessment Act*, *Planning Act* processes
- maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority

## Instructions

Please have the following available, when requesting information related to the screening questions below:

- a clear map showing the location and boundary of the property or project area
  - large scale and small scale showing nearby township names for context purposes
- the municipal addresses of all properties within the project area
- the lot(s), concession(s), and parcel number(s) of all properties within a project area

For more information, see the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's [Ontario Heritage Toolkit](#) or [Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties](#).

In this context, the following definitions apply:

- **qualified person(s)** means individuals – professional engineers, architects, archaeologists, etc. – having relevant, recent experience in the conservation of cultural heritage resources.
- **proponent** means a person, agency, group or organization that carries out or proposes to carry out an undertaking or is the owner or person having charge, management or control of an undertaking.

### 1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?

An existing checklist, methodology or process may already be in place for identifying potential cultural heritage resources, including:

- one endorsed by a municipality
- an environmental assessment process e.g. screening checklist for municipal bridges
- one that is approved by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) under the Ontario government's [Standards & Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties](#) [s.B.2.]

## Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value

### 2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found not to be of cultural heritage value?

Respond 'yes' to this question, if all of the following are true:

A property can be considered not to be of cultural heritage value if:

- a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) - or equivalent - has been prepared for the property with the advice of a qualified person and it has been determined not to be of cultural heritage value and/or
- the municipal heritage committee has evaluated the property for its cultural heritage value or interest and determined that the property is not of cultural heritage value or interest

A property may need to be re-evaluated, if:

- there is evidence that its heritage attributes may have changed
- new information is available
- the existing Statement of Cultural Heritage Value does not provide the information necessary to manage the property
- the evaluation took place after 2005 and did not use the criteria in Regulations 9/06 and 10/06

**Note:** Ontario government ministries and public bodies [prescribed under Regulation 157/10] may continue to use their existing evaluation processes, until the evaluation process required under section B.2 of the Standards & Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties has been developed and approved by MTCS.

To determine if your property or project area has been evaluated, contact:

- the approval authority
- the proponent
- the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

### 3a. Is the property (or project area) identified, designated or otherwise protected under the *Ontario Heritage Act* as being of cultural heritage value e.g.:

- i. designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act*
  - individual designation (Part IV)
  - part of a heritage conservation district (Part V)

## Individual Designation – Part IV

A property that is designated:

- by a municipal by-law as being of cultural heritage value or interest [s.29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*]
- by order of the Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as being of cultural heritage value or interest of provincial significance [s.34.5]. **Note:** To date, no properties have been designated by the Minister.

## Heritage Conservation District – Part V

A property or project area that is located within an area designated by a municipal by-law as a heritage conservation district [s. 41 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*].

For more information on Parts IV and V, contact:

- municipal clerk
  - [Ontario Heritage Trust](#)
  - local land registry office (for a title search)
- 

ii. subject of an agreement, covenant or easement entered into under Parts II or IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*

An agreement, covenant or easement is usually between the owner of a property and a conservation body or level of government. It is usually registered on title.

The primary purpose of the agreement is to:

- preserve, conserve, and maintain a cultural heritage resource
- prevent its destruction, demolition or loss

For more information, contact:

- [Ontario Heritage Trust](#) - for an agreement, covenant or easement [clause 10 (1) (c) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*]
  - municipal clerk – for a property that is the subject of an easement or a covenant [s.37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*]
  - local land registry office (for a title search)
- 

iii. listed on a register of heritage properties maintained by the municipality

Municipal registers are the official lists - or record - of cultural heritage properties identified as being important to the community.

Registers include:

- all properties that are designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act* (Part IV or V)
- properties that have not been formally designated, but have been identified as having cultural heritage value or interest to the community

For more information, contact:

- municipal clerk
  - municipal heritage planning staff
  - municipal heritage committee
- 

iv. subject to a notice of:

- intention to designate (under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*)
- a Heritage Conservation District study area bylaw (under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act*)

A property that is subject to a **notice of intention to designate** as a property of cultural heritage value or interest and the notice is in accordance with:

- section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*
- section 34.6 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. **Note:** To date, the only applicable property is Meldrum Bay Inn, Manitoulin Island. [s.34.6]

An area designated by a municipal by-law made under section 40.1 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* as a **heritage conservation district study area**.

For more information, contact:

- municipal clerk – for a property that is the subject of notice of intention [s. 29 and s. 40.1]
  - [Ontario Heritage Trust](#)
-

- v. included in the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's list of provincial heritage properties

Provincial heritage properties are properties the Government of Ontario owns or controls that have cultural heritage value or interest.

The Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) maintains a list of all provincial heritage properties based on information provided by ministries and prescribed public bodies. As they are identified, MTCS adds properties to the list of provincial heritage properties.

For more information, contact the MTCS Registrar at [registrar@ontario.ca](mailto:registrar@ontario.ca).

### **3b. Is the property (or project area) a National Historic Site (or part of)?**

National Historic Sites are properties or districts of national historic significance that are designated by the Federal Minister of the Environment, under the *Canada National Parks Act*, based on the advice of the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada.

For more information, see the [National Historic Sites website](#).

### **3c. Is the property (or project area) designated under the *Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act*?**

The *Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act* protects heritage railway stations that are owned by a railway company under federal jurisdiction. Designated railway stations that pass from federal ownership may continue to have cultural heritage value.

For more information, see the [Directory of Designated Heritage Railway Stations](#).

### **3d. Is the property (or project area) designated under the *Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act*?**

The *Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act* helps preserve historically significant Canadian lighthouses. The Act sets up a public nomination process and includes heritage building conservation standards for lighthouses which are officially designated.

For more information, see the [Heritage Lighthouses of Canada](#) website.

### **3e. Is the property (or project area) identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office?**

The role of the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO) is to help the federal government protect the heritage buildings it owns. The policy applies to all federal government departments that administer real property, but not to federal Crown Corporations.

For more information, contact the [Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office](#).

See a [directory of all federal heritage designations](#).

### **3f. Is the property (or project area) located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?**

A UNESCO World Heritage Site is a place listed by UNESCO as having outstanding universal value to humanity under the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage. In order to retain the status of a World Heritage Site, each site must maintain its character defining features.

Currently, the Rideau Canal is the only World Heritage Site in Ontario.

For more information, see Parks Canada – [World Heritage Site website](#).

## **Part B: Screening for potential Cultural Heritage Value**

### **4a. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that has a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?**

Heritage resources are often recognized with formal plaques or markers.

Plaques are prepared by:

- municipalities
- provincial ministries or agencies
- federal ministries or agencies
- local non-government or non-profit organizations

For more information, contact:

- [municipal heritage committees](#) or local heritage organizations – for information on the location of plaques in their community
- Ontario Historical Society's [Heritage directory](#) – for a list of historical societies and heritage organizations
- Ontario Heritage Trust – for a [list of plaques](#) commemorating Ontario's history
- Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada – for a [list of plaques](#) commemorating Canada's history

**4b. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?**

For more information on known cemeteries and/or burial sites, see:

- Cemeteries Regulations, Ontario Ministry of Consumer Services – for a [database of registered cemeteries](#)
- Ontario Genealogical Society (OGS) – to [locate records of Ontario cemeteries](#), both currently and no longer in existence; cairns, family plots and burial registers
- Canadian County Atlas Digital Project – to [locate early cemeteries](#)

In this context, adjacent means contiguous or as otherwise defined in a municipal official plan.

**4c. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?**

The Canadian Heritage River System is a national river conservation program that promotes, protects and enhances the best examples of Canada's river heritage.

Canadian Heritage Rivers must have, and maintain, outstanding natural, cultural and/or recreational values, and a high level of public support.

For more information, contact the [Canadian Heritage River System](#).

If you have questions regarding the boundaries of a watershed, please contact:

- your conservation authority
- municipal staff

**4d. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?**

A 40 year 'rule of thumb' is typically used to indicate the potential of a site to be of cultural heritage value. The approximate age of buildings and/or structures may be estimated based on:

- history of the development of the area
- fire insurance maps
- architectural style
- building methods

Property owners may have information on the age of any buildings or structures on their property. The municipality, local land registry office or library may also have background information on the property.

**Note:** 40+ year old buildings or structure do not necessarily hold cultural heritage value or interest; their age simply indicates a higher potential.

A building or structure can include:

- residential structure
- farm building or outbuilding
- industrial, commercial, or institutional building
- remnant or ruin
- engineering work such as a bridge, canal, dams, etc.

For more information on researching the age of buildings or properties, see the Ontario Heritage Tool Kit Guide [Heritage Property Evaluation](#).



## Part C: Other Considerations

### 5a. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area) is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important to defining the character of the area?

Local or Aboriginal knowledge may reveal that the project location is situated on a parcel of land that has potential landmarks or defining structures and sites, for instance:

- buildings or landscape features accessible to the public or readily noticeable and widely known
- complexes of buildings
- monuments
- ruins

### 5b. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area) has a special association with a community, person or historical event?

Local or Aboriginal knowledge may reveal that the project location is situated on a parcel of land that has a special association with a community, person or event of historic interest, for instance:

- Aboriginal sacred site
- traditional-use area
- battlefield
- birthplace of an individual of importance to the community

### 5c. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area) contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?

Landscapes (which may include a combination of archaeological resources, built heritage resources and landscape elements) may be of cultural heritage value or interest to a community.

For example, an Aboriginal trail, historic road or rail corridor may have been established as a key transportation or trade route and may have been important to the early settlement of an area. Parks, designed gardens or unique landforms such as waterfalls, rock faces, caverns, or mounds are areas that may have connections to a particular event, group or belief.

For more information on Questions 5.a., 5.b. and 5.c., contact:

- Elders in Aboriginal Communities or community researchers who may have information on potential cultural heritage resources. Please note that Aboriginal traditional knowledge may be considered sensitive.
- [municipal heritage committees](#) or local heritage organizations
- Ontario Historical Society's "[Heritage Directory](#)" - for a list of historical societies and heritage organizations in the province

An internet search may find helpful resources, including:

- historical maps
- historical walking tours
- municipal heritage management plans
- cultural heritage landscape studies
- municipal cultural plans

Information specific to trails may be obtained through [Ontario Trails](#).


## MCM Response - Capital Power: York Battery Energy Storage System - Cultural Heritage Report [MCM File # 0018797]

Smythe, Liam (He/Him) (MCM) <Liam.Smythe@ontario.ca>

Mon 2/12/2024 12:55 PM

To: Lawrence Nasen <lnasen@capitalpower.com>

Cc: John Sleath <jsleath@asiheritage.ca>; Jennifer Whittard <jwhittard@slrconsulting.com>; Barboza, Karla (She/Her) (MCM) <Karla.Barboza@ontario.ca>

 1 attachments (202 KB)

2024-02-12\_YorkBatteryStorageCHR\_MCM Comments.pdf;

You don't often get email from liam.smythe@ontario.ca. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good afternoon Lawrence,

Thank you for sending the *Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment* for the York Battery Energy Storage System Project to the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM) for review. MCM's comments on the report are included in the attached letter.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Best regards,

**Liam Smythe | Heritage Planner**

**Citizenship, Inclusion and Heritage Division | Heritage Branch | Heritage Planning Unit**  
**Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism**

416.301.4797

[Liam.Smythe@ontario.ca](mailto:Liam.Smythe@ontario.ca)

---

**From:** Lawrence Nasen <[lnasen@capitalpower.com](mailto:lnasen@capitalpower.com)>

**Sent:** February 2, 2024 11:35 AM

**To:** Barboza, Karla (She/Her) (MCM) <[Karla.Barboza@ontario.ca](mailto:Karla.Barboza@ontario.ca)>; Minkin, Dan (MCM) <[Dan.Minkin@ontario.ca](mailto:Dan.Minkin@ontario.ca)>

**Cc:** [jsleath@asiheritage.ca](mailto:jsleath@asiheritage.ca); Jennifer Whittard <[jwhittard@slrconsulting.com](mailto:jwhittard@slrconsulting.com)>

**Subject:** Capital Power: York Battery Energy Storage System - Cultural Heritage Report

**CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.**

Hi Karla,

In response to your letter dated June 12, 2023 (MCM File 0018797), please find attached for MCM's review the Cultural Heritage Report prepared for Capital Power's proposed York Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Project located at 18815 Dufferin Street, Township of King, Regional Municipality of York. The report concludes there are no adverse direct or indirect impacts to any identified BHRs, CHLs, or CFs anticipated as a result of the proposed undertaking.

Please let us know if you have any comments or require additional information.

Thanks,

**Lawrence Nasen M.Sc., P.Biol | Senior Specialist, Environment**

Capital Power Corporation

Gulf Canada Square 1200 | 1200, 401 -9th Ave SW | Calgary, AB | T2P 3C5

Mobile: (403) 835-0032 | Email: [lnasen@capitalpower.com](mailto:lnasen@capitalpower.com)

This email message, including any attachments, is for the intended recipient(s) only, and contains confidential and proprietary information. Unauthorized distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or are obviously not one of the intended recipients, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and delete this email message, including any attachments. Thank you.

**Ministry of Citizenship  
and Multiculturalism**

Heritage Planning Unit  
Heritage Branch  
Citizenship, Inclusion and  
Heritage Division  
5th Flr, 400 University Ave  
Tel.: 416-301-4797

**Ministère des Affaires civiques  
et du Multiculturalisme**

Unité de la planification relative au  
patrimoine  
Direction du patrimoine  
Division des affaires civiques, de  
l'inclusion et du patrimoine  
Tél.: 416-301-4797



February 12, 2024

EMAIL ONLY

Lawrence Nasen  
Senior Specialist, Environment  
Capital Power Corporation  
Gulf Canada Square  
1200, 401-9th Ave SW  
Calgary, AB T2P 3C5  
[lnasen@capitalpower.com](mailto:lnasen@capitalpower.com)

**MCM File** : **0018797**  
**Proponent** : **Capital Power Corporation**  
**Subject** : **Class Environmental Assessment for Minor Transmission Facilities  
– Cultural Heritage Report**  
**Project** : **York Battery Energy Storage System Project**  
**Location** : **King Township, York Region**

Dear Lawrence Nasen:

Thank you for sending the *Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment* for the York Battery Energy Storage System Project (revised September 2023, and prepared by Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI)) to the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM) for review and comment.

MCM's interest in this Environmental Assessment (EA) project relates to its mandate of conserving Ontario's cultural heritage.

**Project Summary**

ASI was contracted by SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd., on behalf of Capital Power Corporation to complete a *Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment* (CHR) as part of the York Battery Energy Storage System Project. This project is being completed following the Class Environmental Assessment for Minor Transmission Facilities process. The project involves the development of a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on the eastern portion of a parcel of land owned by Capital Power located north of the existing York Energy Centre at 18781 Dufferin Street in King Township. The project study consists of the existing York Energy Centre Property, adjacent agricultural lands, a tributary of the Holland River, and greenspaces.

**Comments**

We have reviewed the CHR referenced above. MCM finds that due diligence has been undertaken in preparing this CHR by:

- Describing the existing baseline cultural heritage conditions within the study area by identifying all known or potential built heritage resources (BHRs) and cultural heritage landscapes (CHLs), including a historical summary of the study area.

- Presenting an inventory of known (previously identified) and potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within the study area. A total of three known built heritage resources, one known cultural heritage landscape, one potential built heritage resource, and one commemorative feature (an Ontario Heritage Trust plaque) were identified within the study area.
- Identifying preliminary potential project-specific impacts on the known and potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes that have been identified. Based on the preliminary plans for the York BESS project, no direct or indirect adverse impacts are anticipated to any of the known or potential built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, or commemorative features within the study area.
- Recommending measures to avoid or mitigate potential negative impacts to known built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes. As no direct or indirect adverse impacts were identified, no specific mitigation measures were recommended, however the CHR recommends that all construction activities and staging areas should be suitably planned to avoid adverse impacts to built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within the study area.

Thank you for providing MCM with the opportunity to review the CHR for this project. We find that the report is overall consistent with best practice guidance prepared by MCM.

Please note that the responsibility for administration of the *Ontario Heritage Act* and matters related to cultural heritage have been transferred from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) to the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM). Individual staff roles and contact information remain unchanged. Please continue to send any notices, report and/or documentation to both Karla Barboza and myself.

Sincerely,

Liam Smythe  
Heritage Planner  
Heritage Planning Unit  
Liam.Smythe@ontario.ca

Copied to: John Sleath, ASI  
Jennifer Whittard, SLR Consulting  
Karla Barboza, MCM

It is the sole responsibility of proponents to ensure that any information and documentation submitted as part of their EA report or file is accurate. The Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM) makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of the any checklists, reports or supporting documentation submitted as part of the EA process, and in no way shall MCM be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result if any checklists, reports or supporting documents are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.

Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out an archaeological assessment, in compliance with Section 48(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

The *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33* requires that any person discovering human remains must cease all activities immediately and notify the police or coroner. If the coroner does not suspect foul play in the disposition of the remains, in accordance with *Ontario Regulation 30/11* the coroner shall notify the Registrar, Ontario Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery, which administers provisions of that Act related to burial sites. In situations where human remains are associated with archaeological resources, the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism should also be notified (at [archaeology@ontario.ca](mailto:archaeology@ontario.ca)) to ensure that the archaeological site is not subject to unlicensed alterations which would be a contravention of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

## RE: Cultural Heritage Report for York Battery Energy Storage System Project

Colin Pang <cpang@king.ca>

Thu 2/15/2024 9:33 AM

To: Lawrence Nasen <lnasen@capitalpower.com>; Felix Chau <fchau@king.ca>

Cc: jsleath@asiheritage.ca <jsleath@asiheritage.ca>; Jennifer Whittard <jwhittard@slrconsulting.com>

You don't often get email from cpang@king.ca. [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi Lawrence,

We have no further comments to provide with respect to the completed HIA by ASI for the York Battery Energy Storage System Project. I did see in the report that a Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment was completed previously? Could this be forwarded to us as well for our records?

Thank you,



**Colin Pang, MCIP, RPP**

Planner II/Heritage Coordinator | [Growth Management Services](#)

2585 King Road, King City, Ontario L7B 1A1

t: 905-833-5321 ext. 4067 | c: [cpang@king.ca](mailto:cpang@king.ca) | [www.king.ca](http://www.king.ca)



Many services are also available online by visiting [www.king.ca](http://www.king.ca).

The information contained in this message is directed in confidence solely to the person(s) named above and may not be otherwise distributed, copies or disclosed. This message may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately advising of the error and delete the message without making a copy. Thank you.



**Please consider the environment before printing.**

---

**From:** Lawrence Nasen <lnasen@capitalpower.com>

**Sent:** Friday, February 2, 2024 11:45 AM

**To:** Felix Chau <fchau@king.ca>; Colin Pang <cpang@king.ca>

**Cc:** jsleath@asiheritage.ca; Jennifer Whittard <jwhittard@slrconsulting.com>

**Subject:** RE: Cultural Heritage Report for York Battery Energy Storage System Project

**CAUTION!** This email originated from **outside your organization**. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the **Report a Phish** Outlook add-in. If you think you may have clicked on a phishing link, please mention that when reporting the phishing email.

Hi Felix,

As per the email chain below and our past discussions with Colin, please find attached for your files a copy of the Cultural Heritage Report prepared for Capital Power's proposed York Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Project located at 18815 Dufferin Street, Township of King. The report concludes there are no adverse direct or indirect impacts to any identified BHRs, CHLs, or CFs anticipated as a result of the proposed undertaking.

This report was completed for due diligence as part of the Class EA for Minor Transmission Facilities screening process. Capital Power will be submitting other documents as recently discussed with you, as part of the Township's Site Plan Approval process (PCM-2022-50).

Please forward this on to York Region if applicable, and let us know if you require any additional information.

Thanks,

**Lawrence Nasen M.Sc., P.Biol** | Senior Specialist, Environment

Capital Power Corporation

Gulf Canada Square 1200 | 1200, 401 -9th Ave SW | Calgary, AB | T2P 3C5

Mobile: (403) 835-0032 | Email: [lnasen@capitalpower.com](mailto:lnasen@capitalpower.com)

---

**From:** Colin Pang <[cpang@king.ca](mailto:cpang@king.ca)>

**Date:** Tuesday, July 4, 2023 at 2:10 PM

**To:** Lindsay Parsons <[lparsons@asiheritage.ca](mailto:lparsons@asiheritage.ca)>, heritage <[heritage@king.ca](mailto:heritage@king.ca)>

**Cc:** Lauren McGregor <[lmcgregor@slrconsulting.com](mailto:lmcgregor@slrconsulting.com)>, Jennifer Whittard <[jwhittard@slrconsulting.com](mailto:jwhittard@slrconsulting.com)>, Joelle Doubrough <[jdoubrough@slrconsulting.com](mailto:jdoubrough@slrconsulting.com)>, John Sleath <[jsleath@asiheritage.ca](mailto:jsleath@asiheritage.ca)>

**Subject:** RE: Cultural Heritage Report for York Battery Energy Storage System Project

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [cpang@king.ca](mailto:cpang@king.ca). [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi Lindsay,

Thanks for your email. I can confirm that there are no properties which are "Listed" or "Designated" in the study area.

Would we be able to obtain a copy of the report generated by ASI upon completion?

Thanks,

Colin



**Colin Pang, MCIP, RPP**

Planner II/Heritage Coordinator | Growth Management Services  
2585 King Road, King City, Ontario L7B 1A1

t: 905-833-5321 ext. 4067 | c: [cpang@king.ca](mailto:cpang@king.ca) | [www.king.ca](http://www.king.ca)



Many services are also available online by visiting [www.king.ca](http://www.king.ca).

The information contained in this message is directed in confidence solely to the person(s) named above and may not be otherwise distributed, copies or disclosed. This message may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately advising of the error and delete the message without making a copy. Thank you.



Please consider the environment before printing.

---

**From:** Lindsay Parsons <[lparsons@asiheritage.ca](mailto:lparsons@asiheritage.ca)>

**Sent:** Thursday, June 22, 2023 2:34 PM

**To:** heritage <[heritage@king.ca](mailto:heritage@king.ca)>

**Cc:** Lauren McGregor <[lmcgregor@slrconsulting.com](mailto:lmcgregor@slrconsulting.com)>; Jennifer Whittard <[jwhittard@slrconsulting.com](mailto:jwhittard@slrconsulting.com)>;  
[jdoubrough@slrconsulting.com](mailto:jdoubrough@slrconsulting.com); John Sleath <[jsleath@asiheritage.ca](mailto:jsleath@asiheritage.ca)>

**Subject:** Cultural Heritage Report for York Battery Energy Storage System Project

You don't often get email from [lparsons@asiheritage.ca](mailto:lparsons@asiheritage.ca). [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION!** This email originated from **outside your organization**. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the **Report a Phish** Outlook add-in. If you think you may have clicked on a phishing link, please mention that when reporting the phishing email.

Hi there,

ASI has been retained to complete a Cultural Heritage Report as part of the York Battery Energy Storage System Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for Minor Transmission Facilities. The project study area includes the York Energy Centre property and the property parcel directly north of it with a 50 meter buffer (see attached image).

After reviewing the Township of King's Heritage Register, I wanted to confirm that there are no listed or designated properties within the study area.

Please note that we will also be in touch with the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism and the Ontario Heritage Trust regarding the identification of heritage properties and/or concerns in the study area.

Thanks in advance for your time,  
Lindsay

**Lindsay Parsons, MMSt MPL** (she/her)

Technical Writer and Researcher • Cultural Heritage Division



**ASI • Providing Archaeological & Cultural Heritage Services**

[LParsons@asiheritage.ca](mailto:LParsons@asiheritage.ca) • 416 966 1069 x228 • Fax: 416 966 9723

528 Bathurst Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 2P9 • [asiheritage.ca](http://asiheritage.ca)

This email message, including any attachments, is for the intended recipient(s) only, and contains confidential and proprietary information. Unauthorized distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or are obviously not one of the intended recipients, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and delete this email message, including any attachments. Thank you.