Before we start, I would like to remind listeners that certain statements about future events made on this conference call are forward-looking in nature and are based on certain assumptions and analysis made by the company. Actual results may differ materially from the company’s expectations due to various material risks and uncertainties associated with our business. Please refer to the cautionary statement on forward-looking information on Slide #2.

In today’s presentation we will be referring to various non-GAAP financial measures as noted on Slide 3. These measures are not defined financial measures, according to GAAP, and do not have standardized meanings described by GAAP, and are, therefore, unlikely to be comparable to similar measures used by other enterprises. Reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures can be found in the Management’s Discussion and Analysis, dated October 24, 2014 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. I will now turn the call over to Brian for his remarks starting on Slide #4.

BRIAN VAASJO: Thanks Randy. I’ll start off by providing an update on the Shepard Energy Centre project and then review our operating performance, followed by a discussion on the Alberta power market and how it impacted our third quarter financial results.

First, let me update you on the construction at the Shepard Energy Centre, which is being built in Calgary. The construction of the 800-megawatt natural gas combined-cycle facility, with our joint venture ENMAX, is now 99% completed. The commissioning of the plant is ongoing, with steam blowing of the main steam lines. The steam blows are expected to be completed before the end of this month. Overall, the construction project is expected to be completed on budget and with commercial operation date targeted for early 2015.

Slide 5 highlights the plant availability operating performance of our plants for the third quarter of 2014, compared to a year ago. Overall, we had strong operating performance in our operated fleet, with an average plant availability of 97% in the third quarter, which was unchanged from a year ago. Although the Keephills 3 facility had 100% availability, its production was reduced due to derates. For our acquired Sundance PPA for units 5
&6, these units achieved 85% plant availability in the third quarter, which I’ll elaborate further on in a moment. Through the first nine months of the year, we have achieved a strong 95% average plant availability and we are on track to finish the year close to 95%.

Turning to Slide 6 – I’d like to discuss the Alberta power market and our Alberta commercial segment, as it was the largest impact on our third quarter results. Our generation volumes in the third quarter were well below due to lower availability at the Sundance 5 & 6 units, from planned and unplanned outages, the Keephills 3 derate, and lower wind generation at Halkirk. Alberta power prices averaged $64.00/MWh in the third quarter, compared to $84.00/MWh in the third quarter of 2013. On the surface, the average power price for the quarter was reasonable. However if you look at each of the three months within the quarter there were significant price volatility – with July averaging $122.00, $45.00 in August, and $24.00 in September. The Sundance 5 & 6 outages occurred primarily in July, coinciding with periods of high price volatility, which I’ll discuss in more detail shortly. With our commercial production 100% sold forward in July, the trading desk had to cover short market positions created by lower availability at prevailing high spot prices. Partially offsetting the costs of buying power at high spot prices are the capacity payments that we receive under the Sundance PPA for units 5 & 6. The capacity payments are based on a trailing 30-day rolling average power price, or RAPP. Unfortunately, the RAPP for July was only $71.00/MWh, which did not cover the cost of covering our short positions. Overall, the EBITDA variance for the Alberta commercial portfolio was $16 million below our expectations in the third quarter, with $15 million of the shortfall attributed to trading activities in July. The performance in August and September generally met our expectations.

On Slide 7, I’ll discuss the dynamics of the Alberta power market in more detail. The chart on this slide shows the extreme pricing volatility in the third quarter, correlated with some of the various plant outages. The red solid line represents the daily settled price and the dotted line represents average monthly forward prices, which ranged in the low-to-high $60.00/MWh price range. The expected production from our Alberta base load coal plants and Sundance PPA in July was 100% hedged; however a planned outage at Sundance 6 was extended, an unplanned outage at Sundance 5, and derates at Keephills 3, resulted in short market position. As you see in the chart, the timing of the Sundance 5 & 6 outages coincided with the significant price spikes in the three periods in mid-July, end of July, and mid-August when we were in a short position which required us to purchase power at high spot prices. As the short position was backstopped with Clover Bar generation, that peaking facility wasn’t able to fully capture the upside from the high power prices.

Power prices in the third quarter were also impacted by weather as Alberta experienced extremely high temperatures. In fact, July had the second highest average daily temperatures in the past thirty years. Associated with the hot weather, there was also very little wind generation and thermal generation experienced heat-related derates across the province. Overall, the third quarter was an unusual quarter with significant price volatility, especially in July when a combination of events, such as: numerous plant outages, record-high temperatures, and no wind, leading to high power prices over short durations. I’ll now turn the call over to Stuart to review our financial performance.

STUART LEE: Thanks Brian. I’ll start my comments on Slide 8. In the third quarter we had a $73 million non-cash write-down of deferred tax assets related to US income tax loss carry forwards that can no longer be recognized for accounting purposes, based on the current long-term forecast for US taxable income. The forecast showed a decline in taxable income over the latter years of the forecast. For income tax purposes these US non-operating losses do not expire until the 2027 – 2033 period; accordingly, they retain economic value. We continue to pursue US-contracted power opportunities and the US business development pipeline is active. Our expectation is that, pending successful development opportunities in the US, we could write up these deferred tax assets.

Turning to Slide 9, as Brian indicated, the weak financial results in the third quarter, on a year-over-year basis, was due to lower than expected performance in the Alberta commercial plants and acquired Sundance PPA segment,
and lower average Alberta power prices, and lower realized power prices. As Brian mentioned, the average Alberta power price in the third quarter was $64.00/MWh, compared to $84.00/MWh a year ago. Due to the events that Brian described, our trading desk captured a realized power price of $56.00/MWh, which was 13% below the average power price.

Turning to Slide 10, I’ll review our third quarter 2014 financial performance compared to the third quarter of 2013. Third quarter results reflected the timing impact from planned and unplanned outages of the Sundance 5 & 6 units, the Keephills 3 derate, and lower average Alberta power prices. Revenues were $248 million, down 35% from Q3 2013, due to weaker performance in the Alberta commercial plants, acquired Sundance PPA, and portfolio optimization. Lower revenues also reflected the November 2013 sale of the New England assets. Adjusted EBITDA, before unrealized changes in fair values, was $86 million in Q3 2014, down 43%, primarily due to lower results in the Alberta commercial plants and acquired Sundance PPA segment. This is attributable to the extended planned outage and unplanned outages at Sundance 5 & 6 units and the derates at Keephills 3 plant. These outages occurred primarily in July and negatively impacted our portfolio optimization position, as Brian discussed earlier. Normalized earnings per share of $0.12 was lower than the $0.72 in the third quarter a year ago, primarily reflecting lower performance at Alberta commercial plants and acquired Sundance PPA segment. And funds from operations of $83 million, was down 34% from the $125 million in Q3 2013.

Turning to Slide 11, I’ll review our financial performance on a year-to-date basis. Our financial performance in the first nine months of the year reflected lower Alberta power prices that averaged $56.00/MWh, compared to $90.00/MWh in the first nine months of 2013. They also reflect the divestiture of the New England assets in November 2013 and lower generation from the Alberta commercial plants and acquired Sundance PPA segment. Revenues were $796 million on a year-to-date basis, down 25% for the same period in 2013, due mainly to the sale of the New England assets and lower production from the Alberta commercial plants and acquired Sundance PPA. Adjusted EBITDA, before unrealized changes in fair values, was $283 million on a year-to-date basis, down 26%, driven primarily by lower results in the Alberta commercial plants and acquired Sundance PPA, from a weaker average spot price, and lower production. This is also reflected in normalized earnings per share, which came in at $0.51, compared to $1.35 in the first nine months of 2013. Finally, funds from operations of $260 million was down 18%, from $316 million a year ago.

I’ll conclude my comments by reviewing our financial outlook for 2014 on Slide 13. As disclosed in the October 17th press release, we have lowered our 2014 annual financial guidance. We now expect to generate funds from operations near the low end of our $360 million to $400 million target, including the $20 million received from amendments to the Genesee coal mine agreements. This is changed from our previous expectation of generating FFO in the midpoint of our target range. Our updated guidance includes our forecast for Alberta power prices in the mid—or, sorry, in the high $50.00/MWh range for the fourth quarter of 2014. Our Alberta portfolio hedged positions have increased compared to the second quarter. We are 100% hedged for the fourth quarter of 2014 at an average hedge price in the high-$50.00/MWh range. For 2015 we are 92% hedged at an average hedge price in the mid-$50.00/MWh range, and for 2016 we are 49% hedged in the mid-$50.00/MWh range. The average hedge prices for 2015 and ‘16 are slightly higher than where the forward prices were at, at the end of September 2014. I’ll now turn the call back to Brian.

BRIAN VAASJO: Thanks Stuart. I’ll conclude my comments by providing a brief status update on our 2014 corporate priorities on Slides 13 and 14. The operational targets include the average plant availability of 95%. Our expectation is that we will be close to the 95% target for the full year. Our target for sustaining CAPEX is $85 million, with $42 million spent on a year-to-date basis. We are forecasting to be below the annual target due to the lower spending of the Genesee mine land purchases. Our plant operating and maintenance expense target is $165 to $185 million dollars. We expect to be at the upper end of this range. And, as Stuart indicated, our 2014 cash flow guidance is to generate between $360 to $400 million in
funds from operations. With the inclusion of the $20 million received from the amendments to the Genesee coal mine agreements, we expect 2014 funds from operations be near the low end of the guidance range.

Slide 14 outlines our development and construction targets. Construction on K2 Wind started earlier this year and is progressing well. All access roads have been constructed, 140 foundations have been excavated, and 39 of the 140 turbines have been erected. As I highlighted earlier, the construction of the Shepard Energy Centre in Calgary is nearly completed with commercial operations scheduled for early 2015. Finally, Genesee 4 and 5 is tracking well, with joint arrangement agreements finalized with ENMAX and good progress being made towards our target of obtaining permitting approval in the first quarter of 2015. I’ll turn the call back over to Randy.

RANDY MAH: Thanks Brian. Matthew, we’re ready to start the question and answer session.

QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

OPERATOR: All right, perfect. So, ladies and gentlemen, if you do have any questions please go ahead and hit ‘01’ on your telephone keypad. We’ll give everyone a few moments here to queue up. So, it’s ‘01’ now if you’ve got any questions. And we do have quite a few people that have queued up. First person is Linda Ezergailis of TD Securities. Please go ahead, Linda.

LINDA EZERGAULIS: Thank you. I have a question about your broader hedging strategy. I realize this was a little—well, quite an unusual quarter but I’m wondering if you’ve put some thought to maybe tweaking it or changing it outright? And, within the context of that, maybe you can help us understand what role, if any, the peakers played physically in mitigating your short position?

BRIAN VAASJO: Thank you, Linda. So, we…obviously, on an ongoing basis, we continually are looking at our strategy and back-casting to make sure the strategies are appropriate for the different circumstances, whether you’ve got—and, again, whether you’ve got lots of production in the province or a little production in the province; it’s just an ongoing process. And as we’ve looked back over this year we’re finding that the strategy is working reasonably well, particularly if you consider the months of September and October, and going back in August as well. So, in looking at it, we continue to study it but at this point we’re not seeing any reason to cause any sort of significant change in what that strategy might be.

LINDA EZERGAILIS: And can you just walk us through how the peakers contributed to the quarter in terms of mitigating…like, how much worse would it have been without help from the peakers physically? Or, sort of, context around that?

STUART LEE: So, I don’t know if I can specifically quantify exactly the impact. Obviously, with Clover Bar you have about 250 megawatts of available capacity coming off that unit. And at all times our expectation is that we could have backed the position, absent any major operational issues at the other facilities, by using Clover Bar. So we’re never, at any point in time, physically short based on our expected production capability. But, obviously, when you have a unit like Sundance 6, and at times 5 & 6, coming off the same time and you’re losing upwards of 370 megawatts, it would have been impossible to fully hedge that with Clover Bar.

LINDA EZERGAULIS: Ok. And, maybe, just even help us understand the cause of the derates at K3 in the quarter? Was it heat-related or water restrictions, or?

BRIAN VAASJO: K3 was…I’ll call it the tail-end of derates that have been through the year and at various times expecting them somewhat to come off. I would say it’s probably more appropriate, given that we are not the operator of the plant, to talk to TransAlta about that. Having said that, we have been working with TransAlta. We’re reasonably close to the issues and they’ve been working very diligently to try and eliminate the derates.

LINDA EZERGAULIS: Ok, thank you.

OPERATOR: All right, our next question is from Paul Lechem of CIBC. Please go ahead, Paul.

PAUL LECHM: Oh, thanks. Good morning. Wondering if you can give us any updated insights into the specified gas emitters’ regulations? Any updates to that and the CASA regulations expected out at the end of this year, if you have...
any thoughts or insights into how that’s playing out?
Thanks.

BRIAN VAASJO: I think that the way it’s evolving is that
with the change in leadership of the Conservative party, a
lot of the environmental regulations and actions around
those are on hold for a short period of time. But prior to
those changes, it was going according to expectations, the
CASA regulations were sitting with the government, having
reached an impasse, which is what the process is. And we
were anticipating receiving direction from the Alberta
government. Again, we’re expecting it in and around the
end of the year or slightly thereafter.

PAUL LECHEM: Ok. And do you have any thoughts in
terms of any increased costs for the CO₂ credits?

STUART LEE: No.

PAUL LECHEM: At this point, are you using cash to pay for
your emissions credits? Or, your emissions costs?

STUART LEE: So for 2014 Paul, we expect to revert back
to inventory.

PAUL LECHEM: Ok. great. Thanks very much.

OPERATOR: Our next question is from Ben Pham of BMO.
Please go ahead, Ben.

BEN PHAM: Ok, thank you and good morning everybody. I
just wanted to go back to your hedged position in the
quarter and I’m just curious – was there anything different
in terms of how you layered on your on-peak and off-peak
hedges in this quarter, relative to the past? Did you get a
wrong call to on-peak in July and just misread the market
there?

STUART LEE: So, the on-peak to off-peak ratio wouldn’t
have changed significantly, Ben, from what we would
historically have hedged. On-peak is slightly higher hedged
and a lot of the hedging was put in place up to a year
before and, in some cases, longer than that. And,
traditionally speaking, you’re effectively hedging blocks as
opposed to specific time frames when you’re hedging that
far in advance.

BEN PHAM: So, would it be the extended outage, then,
that you would have because it’s been about, because it’s a
year prior, that would have caught you off-guard there?

STUART LEE: So I think it’s a combination of both the
extended outage as well as unplanned outages at some of
the other facilities.

BEN PHAM: Ok, and, going forward, would you be less
inclined to hedge on-peak during seasonally strong months,
like July?

STUART LEE: Again, I think that Brian’s point is that, we
look at backcasting. Obviously a month like July, we ended
up with a negative variance relative to our expectations but
then you move into a month like September and that
strategy was pretty effective, as it was in August and as it
has been month-to-date in October. So as you, kind of,
scroll forward and say, we obviously have disclosed our
base load hedging is in 2015. You also have to overlay on
top of that what Shepard does to the marketplace. And,
adding 800 megawatts into Alberta we wouldn’t expect
necessarily, to see a month like July again over the course
of the next 12 to 18 months. So, you both back-cast your
results and then you look at what changes fundamentally in
the market going forward that would alter your portfolio
positioning.

BEN PHAM: Ok, and then just on that comment, Stuart – I
mean, your thoughts about additional peaking capacity.
That’s probably something that you’re not interested in
upping at this stage?

STUART LEE: Have no plans at this point in time.

BEN PHAM: Ok, great. Ok, that’s it for me. Thanks.

OPERATOR: Our next question is from Andrew Kuske of
Credit Suisse. Please go ahead, Andrew.
ANDREW KUSKE: Thank you, good morning. My first question really relates to the main part of your clientele being prospectively energy consumers and producers. And, just with the recent decline in oil and just the general sentiment around it – what are your perspective customers and off-takers, essentially, saying to you around new generation needs into the future? Are they as bullish as they were, say, six months ago and do they think this is just a temporary blip in their plans?

BRIAN VAASJO: We haven’t heard anything specific around people’s longer term concerns. Most of the significant development that is ongoing in Alberta and slated to take place over the next number of years is by, generally, long-term players who take a very long-term view. And, our sense of it thus far is that they’re not seeing it as a step change in crude oil prices in the market.

ANDREW KUSKE: And then, just as a follow-up to that – you’re not really seeing any changes at this stage on labour rates or just intentions on build costs, any of those things?

BRIAN VAASJO: No, there’s not—there hasn’t been a lot of pressure yet, but, certainly, what’s happening in the province is although, you have a…I’ll call it a modest level of activity on oilsands front, you’ve got a significant amount of activity on the infrastructure side. A significant amount of building taking place in Edmonton and Calgary and throughout the province. So there’s although it’s not directly oilsands related, there is just a tremendous amount of activity taking place. So we are starting to see a bit of pressure in some of the trades’ areas.

ANDREW KUSKE: And I would assume pipe fitters, welders – that would be really the main stay where the most pressure is?

BRIAN VAASJO: Well, that has traditionally been an area that—that there’s always been pressure on, just generally a shortage in. There’s nothing has occurred to, sort of, overcome that shortage.

ANDREW KUSKE: Ok, then. And then, just related to that last point and I guess this is a question more for Stuart – just on the P&L and the decline in staff costs and employee benefits. When we look at the Q3 ’13 numbers versus ’14, so the $37 million versus $29 – is that largely attributable to the restructuring activities that you had in New England?

STUART LEE: Yes.

ANDREW KUSKE: Ok. Ok, thank you.

OPERATOR: All right, our next question is from Robert Kwan of RBC Capital Markets. Please go ahead, Robert.

ROBERT KWAN: Good morning. If I can just come back to what happened in July? So, you had the Clover Bar back up and I’m wondering, as well Joffre in there? It sounded like you’re trying to say, or you’re saying it was largely effective. I’m wondering, point blank I guess, was the desk short at the same time?

STUART LEE: So, Robert, I mean – I think when we say it was effective, yes, on a year-to-date basis. For July specifically, clearly not effective but, again, I think you have to look at the strategies – not on a month-by-month basis but on a cumulative basis when you look at back casting. So, I think what we disclosed, we were 100% base load sold forward coming into the quarter. And, at certain times, we’ll also sell a portion of our gas assets – although, again, typically speaking, we always maintain some level of length if you include our gas assets on the overall book. And in July we would have sold forward a portion of the gas production, as well.

ROBERT KWAN: Ok, so, effectively, it was a desk short backed by Clover Bar and Joffre on the physical side, is the way you guys would have been thinking about it?

BRIAN VAASJO: Correct. And not…yes, not Joffre not as much because we don’t have dispatch control. And there is, obviously, the ability to end up with some additional coming off Joffre but, typically, we don’t look at it on that basis because we don’t have dispatch control on Joffre.

ROBERT KWAN: Oh, ok. I guess, taking a step back and thinking about your hedging strategy – how do you think about being 100% hedged on the base load and then having a short position on the desk, IE: from a corporate perspective, you’re effectively short the markets. Is that something that you continue to feel comfortable with as we
go forward or is that potentially changed in the hedging and trading strategy?

BRIAN VAASJO: Maybe a simple way to look at it is when you look at our base load position and consider whether we’re fully, assuming we’re fully hedged — that leaves us with the gas position that can back up any outage. And, coincidentally, any unit that goes out is no more than 250 megawatts, so there’s a beautiful matching between Clover Bar and any particular outage. And as Stuart said and as you commented on, there is, usually in periods of high prices, you can count on the facility that’s being run by ATCO. And, so, that’s about another 130 megawatts. The way we think about it, and the way we look at it, and from an overall corporate standpoint — there’s periods of time when you want to be no more than one unit away from being actually totally, physically short. And then there’s times, depending on the volatility of the market and what you see happening, you may want to be, two units away from being physically short. And that’s, actually, we look at it. Going into the summer and going into the third quarter, the view is broadly. You do want to have the ability to cover one short — that is, one unit going out. And assuming high prices again, that also brings in the Joffre facility. So that’s, generally, the way we look at it and we think about it, from a corporate perspective.

Now, when it gets to the trading desk and with those kinds of broader guidelines, there’s certain things happen around temperature and derates and so on that impacts on the ultimate number that you’re long or short in any particular period. But that’s the way we look it — the degrees of protection of the portfolio.

BRIAN VAASJO: And...

ROBERT KWAN: Ok, it’s just that I...

BRIAN VAASJO: And...

ROBERT KWAN: Sorry, go ahead Brian.

BRIAN VAASJO: I was just going to say, that’s under I’ll call it seasonally adjusted normal circumstances and when you hit periods of time when you have more than one outage of your facilities, or your capacity, and you have unusual pricing circumstances — it turns out to be a month like July.